

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action of September 9, 2008 which is a final rejection.

Applicant has reviewed the Detailed Action wherein Claim 1 has been deemed allowable and Claim 2 has been cancelled. Claim 6 contains allowable subject matter.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

35 U.S.C. 112

Claims 3 and 4 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 as being indefinite because they depend upon a cancelled Claim 2.

Claims 3 and 4 have been amended and are now dependent on allowable Claim 1.

Claim 6 has been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, applicant has cancelled Claim 6 and proposed new Claim 7 which overcomes the Examiner's objection and should be allowable.

35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claim 5 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young '603 in view of Strongin, et al '790.

It is admitted by the Examiner that Young fails to show the opening along the selected central part, however, the Examiner contends that Strongin discloses a doll wig comprising an opening along a selected central part for mounting a detachable wig.

The doll head, however, is formed with a recessed groove sized and shaped to mount a plastic clip which extends centrally along the upper portion of the crown of the

doll's head and along the rear and side portions of the crown. The outer surface of the clip is covered by an array of fastening hooks or loops and each upper half is also provided with an array of complementary hooks or loops so that the hairpiece will adhere to a selected portion of the clip compressed thereagainst.

Applicant contends that it would not have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art to employ the central part as taught by Strongin into the wig of Young to provide a natural parting line. The doll wig of Strongin mates with a recess in the head scarcely a situation adaptable to a real live individual wearing a wig. It is also noted that the recess extends along the sides of the head.

Applicant's invention comprises a wig with hair sewn into a net and a metal Omega shaped element in the front part of the wig creating an opening through which one's hair is pulled to create an actual hairline. This is certainly not the case in the combination of Young '603 and Strongin '790.

SUMMARY

Claim 1 has been allowed and Claim 6 has been noted to contain allowable material which would be allowable if written as an independent claim incorporating the limitations of both Claims 5 and 6. Accordingly, this claim now appears as Claim 7 in this amendment.

Claims 3 and 4 were dependent upon a rejected main claim and both claims have been made dependent upon allowed Claim 1 and hence are considered allowable.

Claim 5 has been amended to further distinguish over the references of record and it is believed that Claim 5 is now also allowable.

Reconsideration and allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
/Richard A. Joel/
RICHARD A. JOEL
496 Kinderkamack Road
Oradell, NJ 07649
(201) 599-0588
Reg. No. 22212

Dated: October 9, 2008